

UPDATE: As of May 19, 2026, Roxbury and Mr. Yannacci have reached a settlement in all of these cases.

Summary of John Yannacci's lawsuits against Roxbury

- 1) *Lawsuit against the Planning Board alleging that the Planning Board falsified minutes and failed to adequately respond to a Right-to-Know request.* The Superior Court ruled in favor of the Planning Board. Mr. Yannacci has appealed that ruling to the Supreme Court.
- 2) *Lawsuit against the Selectboard alleging that the Selectboard's investigation of a harassment complaint made against Mr. Yannacci by a member of the Planning Board was flawed.* The Selectboard issued a letter to Mr. Yannacci stating their conclusion that his behavior was unprofessional and disrespectful. This lawsuit is subject to mandatory, but non-binding mediation. The mediation session is scheduled for late April.
- 3) *Lawsuit against the Town of Roxbury alleging that the Town did not have the authority to commission a Natural Resources Inventory (NRI).* Prior to requesting funding for the NRI at Town Meeting in 2023, the Selectboard obtained a legal opinion affirming their authority to enter into a contract for an NRI. This lawsuit is pending.
- 4) *Lawsuit against the Town of Roxbury alleging that the Town has illegally imposed a temporary moratorium on responding to Mr. Yannacci's Right-to-Know (RTK) requests.* In 2025, Mr. Yannacci filed 25 RTK requests. So far this year, he has filed 9 more. A hearing on this lawsuit is scheduled for March 9.

Summary of Roxbury's Counterclaim against John Yannacci

- 1) *Violation of RSA 91-A-* claiming that Mr. Yannacci's RTK requests have been unreasonable in that they are excessive, overburdensome, malicious and vexatious.
- 2) *Public Nuisance* – claiming that Mr. Yannacci's behavior unreasonably interferes with the health, safety, peace, comfort and general convenience of the community of Roxbury.
- 3) *Violation of Oath of Office* – claiming that Mr. Yannacci has acted in ways that are contrary to the public interest.

- 4) Breach of Fiduciary Duty – claiming that as a public official, Mr. Yannacci's vexatious, meritless actions against the Town have resulted in significant losses to the Town thus breaching his fiduciary obligation.